

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| 10/531,888 | 04/20/2005 | Shinkichi Ikeda | MAT-8683US | 5896 |
| 23122 7590 04/24/2008 RATNERPRESTIA P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | NOORISTANY, SULAIMAN | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2146 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/24/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/531.888 IKEDA ET AL. Examiner Art Unit SULAIMAN NOORISTANY 2146

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

| (1) <u>SULAIMAN NOORISTANY</u> . | (3) <u>Dennis DeFino</u> . | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| (2) <u>Ashok Patel</u> . | (4) <u>Jacquef Etkowicz</u> . | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 22 April 2008. | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)[| applicant's representative] | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 7. | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 6,956,816</u> . | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant disclosed the feature of claims 1.8.7, wherein a second router is the mobile router which receives information from the first router (virtual router) based on a request by mobile router. Applicant pointed out that Alexander et al.(US.6.956.816) is missing the second router (mobile router). Examiner agrees at this point that applican's claims over come the above reference and would requires further searches. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims | | | | | | |
| allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | | |

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/ SPE, AU2152 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.